

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 09/23/1997 08/935,629 E. ALAN BATES 7590 07/22/2003 **GARY HOFFMAN EXAMINER** 285 HAWTHORNE ROAD ALEXANDER, LYLE PITTSBURGH, PA 15209 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		
<b>—</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/935,629	BATES ET AL.	_
مرن	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Lyle A Alexander	1743	_
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITING Abandonment of this application and the same of t	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE teen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 June 2003</u>. Appl 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	•	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th	е
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment	:
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 8 and 23-48.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· , , ,	
10. Other:		As .	
		Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1743